

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,000	03/26/2004	Fred D. Mabe	03CR119/KE	9012	
26383 7590 10/14/2099 ROCKWELL COLLINS, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			PATEL, CHA	PATEL, CHANDRAHAS B	
400 COLLINS ROAD NE M/S 124-323		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CEDAR RAPIDS, IA 52498			2464		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/14/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/811.000 MABE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Chandrahas Patel 2464 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 9-12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8. 13-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SZ/UE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/811,000 Page 2

Art Unit: 2464

DETAILED ACTION

 In view of the appeal brief filed on 8/13/2009, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/Ricky Ngo/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2464.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1, 5, 13, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,000

Art Unit: 2464

- 4. The term "may" in claims 1, 5, 17 and 20 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "may" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The claims state "...an advantaged node which may experience heavy traffic..." The claims do not clearly define when and under what conditions the node may experience heavy traffic. It is not clear from the claim language what comprises heavy traffic or when is the traffic considered heavy. From the claim language it can be concluded that the heavy traffic may lead to traffic congestion but it is not necessary that the heavy traffic leads to traffic congestion. Thus it is not clear from the claim language the meaning of "node which may experience heavy traffic". Therefore, the claims fail to distinctly point out the subject matter which the applicant regards as his/her invention.
- 5. The term "substantial" in claim 13 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "substantial" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The claim state "...increase one or more of the metrics of a plurality of routing pathways through said node entered into a routing table by a **substantial** amount in order to discourage all but essential traffic..." It is not clear from the claim language what is meant by increasing the metrics by a substantial amount. The claims do not clearly state by what amount is the metrics increased by to allow all but essential traffic. Therefore, claim 13 fails to distinctly point out the subject matter which the applicant regards as his/her invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,000 Page 4

Art Unit: 2464

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 9-12 are allowed.

 The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 9, prior art teaches all limitations except having one of the nodes calculate a measure of the degree to which it comprises an advantaged node; having an advantaged node increase one or more of the metrics of a plurality of pathways through the node entered into its routing table to form an updated routing table as a function of the measure of the degree to which it comprises an advantaged node.

This taken with other limitations of dependent claims is considered novel and non-obvious.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrahas Patel whose telephone number is (571)270-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 7:30 to 17:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2464

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ricky Ngo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2464

/Chandrahas Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 2464